APPENDIX 28

STELLENBOSCH MUNICIPALITY



INFRASTRUCTURE ASSET UNBUNDLING POLICY

2025/2026

UNCHANGED

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 Definitions 3

3 Purpose 5

4 Objectives 5

5 Statutory and Regulatory Framework 6

6 Responsibilities & Accountability 6

7 Asset Capitalisation 7

8 Depreciation 9

9 Asset Impairments 10

10 Asset Derecognitions 11

11 Estimated Remaining Useful Life (ERUL) Assessment 12

1 Introduction

This policy is intended to provide a framework for the unbundling of completed infrastructure services capital projects and financial asset year-end processes within the requirements of sections 60, 62, 63, 78, and 79 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act 56 of 2003) (MFMA), and the South African Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practices (GRAP) as well as to promote good financial management practices.

It is the responsibility of the accounting officer to maintain a system of internal control over assets, including an accurate, valid, and complete asset register, and to ensure that appropriate accounting principles are applied to all assets.

This policy applies to all officials within the Stellenbosch Local Municipality who utilise and/or manage any type of infrastructure assets.

The Municipal Council of Stellenbosch have adopted an Asset Management Policy to regulate the effective management of all council's assets and wants to lay down broad guidelines for consistent, effective and efficient asset management principles of Stellenbosch Municipality

The Municipality of Stellenbosch have agreed to adopt an Infrastructure Unbundling Policy that will guide the Municipality with the effective, complete, and accurate capitalisation of their assets and complying with year-end processes relating to assets.

2 Definitions

In this Policy, unless the context indicates otherwise.

An **asset** means a resource:

a) controlled by Stellenbosch Municipality;

b) as a result of a past event;

c) it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the assets will flow to the municipality;

Infrastructure assets are defined as assets that usually display some or all of the following characteristics:

a) they are part of a system or network;

b) they are specialised in nature and do not have alternative uses;

c) they are immovable; and

d) they may be subject to constraints on disposal.

e) examples are road networks, sewer systems, water networks etc.

Capitalisation of assets means the recording of assets in the Fixed Asset Register. Assets may only be recorded in the Fixed Asset Register once the recognition criteria is complied with

in accordance with GRAP. That means the cost of an item shall be recognised as an asset if, and only if: It is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the municipality; and the cost or fair value of the item can be measured reliably.

Cost means the amount of cash or cash equivalents paid or the fair value of the other consideration given to acquire an asset at the time of its acquisition or construction or, where applicable, the amount attributed to that asset when initially recognized in accordance with specific requirements of other Standards of Generally Recognized Accounting Practices (GRAP). Elements of cost are the following:

a) The purchase price, including import duties and non-refundable purchase taxes, after deducting trade discounts and rebates;

b) Any cost directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management; and

c) The initial estimate of the cost of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located.

Current Replacement Cost (CRC) is the cost of replacing the service potential of an existing asset, by reference to some measure of capacity, with an appropriate modern equivalent asset. GRAP 17 defines CRC as the cost the entity would incur to acquire the asset on the reporting date.

Depreciation means the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Directly Attributable Costs are:

a) cost of employee benefits arising directly from the construction or acquisition of an item;

b) cost of site preparation;

c) initial delivery and handling cost;

d) cost of testing whether the asset is functioning properly, after deducting the net proceeds from selling any items produced while bringing the asset to that location and condition; and

e) professional fees.

Expected Useful Life (EUL) is a measure of the estimated life of an asset or component, such as time, number of cycles, distance intervals, production units, etc.

Fixed Asset Register means a register for recording all municipal-owned and controlled assets in accordance with GRAP accounting standards.

Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) are accounting standards issued by the Accounting Standards Board (ASB) in terms of section 89 of the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA).

Impairment means a determined loss in future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation.

Estimated Remaining Useful Life (ERUL) is the period remaining over which economic benefits or service potential can be derived from an asset.

Useful life means the period over which an asset is expected to be available for use by the municipality.

Work-in Progress Register (WIP) the list of projects still under construction and not available for use at reporting date.

3 Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to ensure that all assets are classified and capitalised using the GRAP accounting standards and are recorded accurately on the **FAR (Fixed Asset Register).**

4 Objectives

The objectives of the policy are stipulated as follows:

- Specifying Council's practice regarding accounting for assets.
- To establish a framework for the accounting treatment of assets, including proper recognition, measurement, disposal and impairment thereof to assist officials in understanding their legal and managerial responsibilities with regard to key asset functions such as:
    - efficiently unbundling the assets from the project value to tangible assets;
    - acknowledge when an asset is available for use and ready for recognition in the FAR;
    - asset valuation principles in accordance with GRAP and Directive 7;
    - establishing and maintaining asset registers.

5 Statutory and Regulatory Framework

This policy aligns with the Municipality of Stellenbosch Asset Management Policy.

This policy must comply with the accounting standards specified by the Accounting Standards Board. The relevant approved and effective accounting standards include:

- GRAP 1 Presentation of Financial Statements;
- GRAP 3 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors;
- GRAP 12 Inventory;
- GRAP 13 Leases;
- GRAP 16 Investment property;
- GRAP 17 Property, plant, or equipment;
- GRAP 19 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets;
- GRAP 21 Impairment of Non-cash generating assets;
- GRAP 26 Impairment of Cash-generating assets;
- GRAP 27 Agriculture;
- GRAP 31 Intangibles;
- GRAP 103 Heritage Assets;
- GRAP 110 Living and Non-living Resources.

6 Responsibilities & Accountability

The purpose of this section is to prescribe the responsibilities of the various functionaries within Stellenbosch Municipality.

The Accounting Officer (Municipal Manager)

The Accounting Officer (Municipal Manager) or his duly delegated representative is responsible to ensure implementation and compliance with the responsibilities prescribed in section 63 of the MFMA.

- The municipality has and maintains a management, accounting and information system that accounts for the assets of the municipality which includes the addition of new assets, and the disposal of old assets.

Asset Managers/ Directors

The manager referred to in Section 56 of the Municipal Systems Act is someone reporting directly to the Municipal Manager and has the functional accountabilities for the physical

management of a particular set of assets in order to achieve the municipality's strategic objectives relevant to their directorate.

Asset managers should:

- ensure that a complete asset verification of all inventory and asset items is performed annually;
- ensure that the Work-in Progress register (WIP) is up to date;
- ensure all new assets are categorised correctly and are added to the updated AR;
- ensure that all obsolete, damaged, and unused assets, supported by relevant asset and condemnation forms, are handed in at the Asset Management Department without delay.

Infrastructure Services Departments:

The head of the various engineering departments are responsible for:

- Ensure that departmental officials read and acknowledge the Unbundling Policy and know the processes to follow.
- Updating the WIP register when projects have been completed in a specific financial year.
- Providing project-related information for the categorisation of the assets.
- Assist in the annual ERUL assessment of assets.
- Identify any potentially impaired assets on an annual basis and report them to the Asset Management Department.
- Ensure that complete asset verification of infrastructure asset items is performed on a five (5) year rolling basis or as prescribed by the relevant managing department, i.e. all infrastructure assets are verified over a five (5) year period.

7 Asset Capitalisation

As stated in the Asset Management Policy 2021 - 2022;

"Stellenbosch Municipality does not capitalize an asset based on a capitalization cost threshold but recognizes an asset when it complies with the definition of an asset as stipulated in GRAP 17 and the cost of the asset to the municipality can be measured reliably.

Where an asset is acquired at no cost, or for a nominal cost, its cost is its fair value as at the date of acquisition (GRAP 17.22).

Assets will only be capitalized in the asset register on completion or finalization of the project."

Asset Capitalisation Procedures:

i) The status of all the ongoing municipal projects within the jurisdiction of the municipality need to be updated accordingly on the FAR and WIP register at the end of each financial year:

- Completed projects: Projects that have been signed off as completed and the assets are available for use.
- Work-in progress: Projects that are still on-going in the next financial year and not completed in the current financial year.

ii) Capitalise completed projects as per the GRAP standards

i. New assets: Assets that have previously not been captured on the FAR. Replacements or renewals: Assets capitalised on the FAR to replace existing old and damaged assets on the FAR. The asset that is replaced will have to be derecognised (removed from the FAR).

- An asset categorisation (according to the GRAP asset classifications and CIDMS asset hierarchy) should be present.
- The asset value as per project cost and apportionment during the unbundling process should be available If the asset cost is not available, as the case might be with some donated assets, the fair value as at the date of the handover, will be recognised as the asset value.
- Each asset component should have an Expected Useful Life (EUL) allocated to it. All new assets should have a EUL equal to their Estimated Remaining Useful Life (ERUL). In the case of a donated asset, the EUL and ERUL may differ if the donated asset was used before the transfer.
- The acquisition date will be the date when the project was completed and became available for use. In the case of a donated asset, the handover date will be recorded as the take on date on the FAR.
- The asset GIS ID needs to be assigned to each of the new assets before adding it to the FAR. Asset location information should be populated in the FAR for proper control and maintenance of the assets.

ii. Upgraded assets: Assets that are on the FAR but have been upgraded/ improved:

- Using the previously assigned GIS ID to get the correct location.
- The new asset value associated with the upgrade should be linked to the existing asset.
- In the case of upgrading an existing component, the acquisition date and ERUL of the existing component need to be assigned

to the upgraded component. The ERUL of the improved component should be reviewed for an increase in ERUL.

- In the case of upgrading an existing asset but with a new component, the steps as for a new asset should be followed.

iii) The total of all asset additions in the FAR capitalised within a financial year should align with the total project cost transferred out of the WIP register at the reporting date.

Asset Classification

Assets must be classified according to the GRAP accounting standards and the CIDMS hierarchy. The municipality's hierarchy should be approved by Council and recorded in the Asset Management Policy. Figure 1 below depicts the asset hierarchy as per CIDMS.



Figure 1 – CIDMS Asset Hierarchy

Asset Value

The value of the asset accounts for the cost of the asset including all associated costs such as preliminary & general costs, site clearance, excavation costs, material costs, and transport costs.

Where the acquisition cost of an asset is not available and the date on which control was handed over is also not available, the acquisition cost is measured using the deemed cost at the date the municipality adopted the Standards of GRAP in accordance with Directive 7. The deemed cost is determined as the fair value of the asset at the measurement date.

When an asset is donated to the municipality and the actual asset cost is not available, the asset will be recorded in the FAR at fair value on the date of donation.

8 Depreciation

Each part of an item of property, plant, and equipment with a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost of the item shall be depreciated separately.

A significant part of an item of property, plant, and equipment may have a useful life and a depreciation method that are the same as the useful life and depreciation method of another significant part of that same item. Such parts may be grouped in determining the depreciation charge.

A variety of depreciation methods can be used to allocate the depreciable amount of an asset on a systematic basis over its useful life. These methods include the straight-line method, the

diminishing balance method, and the production unit method. The straight-line depreciation results in a constant charge over the useful life if the asset's residual value does not change.

The straight-line depreciation method is used to allocate the depreciable amount of infrastructure assets over their useful lives on a systematic basis.

9 Asset Impairments

Impairments are defined as "a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation".

According to the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) and GRAP, assets should be reviewed for impairments on an annual basis. There are two impairment accounting standards to be used by local government. If an asset is used with the objective to generate a commercial return, the Standard on Impairments of Cash-generating assets, GRAP 26, will be applicable. Local government assets are usually used to render a service rather than generating a commercial return. In the case of service delivery assets, the Standard on Impairment of Noncash generating (GRAP 21) assets was applied for the testing of impairments.

Recoverable amount is the amount that the municipality expects to recover from the future use of an asset, including its residual value on disposal.

The recoverable service amount or recoverable amount is the higher of an asset's fair value less the cost to sell and its value in use.

The recoverable amount of individual assets, or groups of identical assets, is determined separately and the carrying amount is reduced to the recoverable amount on an individual asset, or group of identical assets, basis. However, there may be circumstances when it may not be possible to assess the recoverable amount of an asset on this basis, for example when all of the plant and equipment in a sewerage purification work is used for the same purpose. In such circumstances, the carrying amount of each of the related assets is reduced in proportion to the overall decline in recoverable amounts of the smallest grouping of assets for which it is possible to make an assessment of recoverable amounts.

The following may be indicators that an asset is impaired, such assets should be tested for an impairment:

a) The item has been damaged;

b) The item has become technologically obsolete;

c) The item remains idle for a considerable period of either prior to it being put into use or during its useful life;

The detail on external and internal impairment indicators is indicated in GRAP 21.23.

Reversal of an Impairment Loss

The same procedures as for the identification of impaired assets are followed to assess whether there is an indication that an impairment may have decreased or eliminated. If so, the difference between the recoverable amount and the carrying amount should be debited to the asset's carrying amount. Therefore, the asset's value will increase to be equal to the recoverable amount.

The ERUL of the asset should be reviewed when a reversal of impairment occurs.

The increased carrying amount due to reversal should not be more than what the depreciated historical cost would have been if the impairment had not been recognised.

Reversal of an impairment loss is recognised as income in the Statement of Financial Performance.

Depreciation must be adjusted for the reviewed ERUL of the asset.

10 Asset Derecognitions

The carrying amount of an asset shall be derecognised:

a) on disposal (including disposal through a non-exchange transaction); or

b) when no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its use or disposal.

The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an asset shall be included in the surplus or deficit when the item is derecognised.

In terms of Section 14 of the MFMA the municipality may not dispose of any capital asset required to provide a minimum level of basic municipal services.

A municipality may dispose of any other capital asset, provided that:

a) the Council, in a meeting open to the public, has first determined that the asset is not required to provide a minimum level of basic municipal services; and

b) the Council has considered the fair market value of the asset and the economic and community value to be received in exchange for the asset.

The decision that a specific asset is not needed to provide the minimum level of basic municipal services, may not be reversed by the municipality after that asset had been sold, transferred, or otherwise disposed of.

11 Estimated Remaining Useful Life (ERUL) Assessment

An ERUL assessment has to be conducted annually on assets that have an ERUL of 2 years or less to identify assets that have to be disposed of, impaired, and adjusted. Departments should also review the ERUL of any asset where indicators exist that there might be an increase or decrease in the life cycle of the asset. The ERUL might increase in the case of an asset upgrade or if an event occurred that affects the life cycle of the asset in a positive manner. Any event/ factor that affects the life cycle of an asset in a negative manner should be recorded, for the asset's ERUL to be review.

Procedure during an ERUL review:

- The Fixed Asset Register is to be reviewed annually and assets with an ERUL of 2 years or less need to be identified;
- The list of identified assets is to be discussed with all engineering department heads to determine the status of the assets;
    a. Vandalised assets to be included on the impairment list;
    b. Assets that are no longer in a working condition to be added to the disposed of list;

Assets that are still in working condition are to be noted and the ERUL is to be adjusted adequately.